



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DRESDEN

FOUNDATIONS OF SEMANTIC WEB TECHNOLOGIES

RDF Schema

Sebastian Rudolph

Dresden, 12 Apr 2013




DRESDEN
concept
EXISTENZ DER
WISSENSCHAFT
UND KULTUR


Content

Overview & XML	9 APR DS2	Hypertableau II	7 JUN DS5
Introduction into RDF	9 APR DS3	Tutorial 5	11 JUN DS2
RDFS – Syntax & Intuition	12 APR DS5	SPARQL Syntax & Intuition	11 JUN DS3
RDFS – Semantics	16 APR DS2	SPARQL – Semantics	14 JUN DS2
RDFS Rule-based Reasoning	16 APR DS3	SPARQL 1.1	14 JUN DS3
Tutorial 1	19 APR DS5	SPARQL Entailment	14 JUN DS5
OWL – Syntax & Intuition	26 APR DS5	Tutorial 6	18 JUN DS2
Tutorial 2	3 MAY DS5	SPARQL Implementation	18 JUN DS3
OWL & Description Logics	7 MAY DS2	Ontology Editing	2 JUL DS2
OWL 2	7 MAY DS3	Ontology Engineering	2 JUL DS3
Tutorial 3	10 MAY DS5	Tutorial 7	9 JUL DS2
Tableau I	14 MAY DS2	Linked Data	9 JUL DS3
Tableau II	14 MAY DS3	Applications	12 JUL DS5
Tutorial 4	17 MAY DS5	Test Exam	16 JUL DS2
Tableau – Optimizations	7 JUN DS2	Test Exam Evaluation	16 JUL DS3
Hypertableau I	7 JUN DS3	Q&A Session	19 JUL DS5

RDF Schema



RDF Schema



Agenda


- Motivation
- Classes and Class Hierarchies
- Properties and Property Hierarchies
- Property Restrictions
- Open Lists
- Reification
- Additional Information in RDFS
- Simple Ontologies

Agenda

- Motivation
- Classes and Class Hierarchies
- Properties and Property Hierarchies
- Property Restrictions
- Open Lists
- Reification
- Additional Information in RDFS
- Simple Ontologies

Schema Knowledge with RDFS

- RDF provides universal possibility to encode factual data on the Web



- = proposition about single resources (individuals) and their relationships
- desirable: propositions about generic sets of individuals (classes), e.g. publishers, organizations, persons etc.

Schema Knowledge with RDFS

- also desirable: specification of logical interdependencies between individuals, classes and relationships, in order to capture as much of the semantics of the described domain as possible, e.g.:
“Publishers are Organizations.”
“Only persons write books.”
- in database speak: schema knowledge

Schema Knowledge with RDFS

RDF Schema (RDFS):

- part of the W3C Recommendation of RDF
- allows for specifying schematic (also: terminologic) Knowledge
- use of dedicated RDF vocabulary (thus: every RDFS document is an RDF document)
- name space (usually abbreviated with `rdfs`):
<http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>

Schema Knowledge with RDFS

RDF Schema (RDFS):


- yet: vocabulary not domain-specific (like, e.g., with FOAF), but generic
- allows for specifying (parts of) the semantics of arbitrary RDF vocabularies (could thus be called a “meta vocabulary”)
- advantage: every RDFS-compliant software faithfully supports every vocabulary that has been defined through RDFS
- this functionality makes RDFS an ontology language for lightweight ontologies
- “A little semantics goes a long way.”

Agenda

- Motivation
- **Classes and Class Hierarchies**
- Properties and Property Hierarchies
- Property Restrictions
- Open Lists
- Reification
- Additional Information in RDFS
- Simple Ontologies

Classes and Instances

- We have already seen “typing” of resources in RDF when we discussed lists:



- the predicate `rdf:type` endows the subject with the type denoted by the object
- the object is seen as the identifier of a class, of which the resource denoted by the subject is a member (also called an instance of that class)

Classes and Instances

```
ex:SemanticWeb rdf:type ex:Textbook .
```

- characterizes “Semantic Web - Grundlagen” as instance of the (newly defined) class “Textbook”
- class membership is not exclusive, e.g. together with the above triple we may have:

```
ex:SemanticWeb rdf:type ex:Entertaining .
```
- in general: a priori individual and class names cannot be distinguished syntactically
- also in reality, this distinction is sometimes difficult: e.g. for <http://www.un.org/#URI>

The Class of all Classes

- however, sometimes one wants to state that a URI denotes a class
- can be done by “typing” that URI as `rdfs:Class`

```
es:Textbook rdf:type rdfs:Class .
```

- `rdfs:Class` is the “class of all classes” and therefore also contains itself, thus the following triple is always valid:

```
rdfs:Class rdf:type rdfs:Class .
```

Subclasses – Motivation

- given the triple
`ex:SemanticWeb rdf:type ex:Textbook .`
- we do not get a result when searching for instances of the class `ex:Book`
- option: add the triple
`ex:SemanticWeb rdf:type ex:Book .`
- this just solves the problem only for the specific resource `ex:SemanticWeb`
- automatically adding it for all instances would blow up the RDF document

Subclasses

- better: one statement telling that every textbook is also a book, i.e., every instance of `ex:Textbook` is automatically also an instance of `ex:Book`
- realised via the `rdfs:subClassOf` property:

```
ex:Textbook rdfs:subClassOf ex:Book .
```

“The class of all textbooks is a subclass of the class of all books.”

Subclasses

- the `rdfs:subClassOf` property is reflexive, i.e., every class is its own subclass, thus:

```
ex:Textbook rdfs:subClassOf ex:Textbook .
```

- on the contrary, we can enforce that two URIs refer to the same class by declaring them as mutual subclasses, like:

```
ex:Haven rdfs:subClassOf ex:Port .  
ex:Port rdfs:subClassOf ex:Haven .
```

Class Hierarchies

- common: not just singular subclass relationships but whole class hierarchies (aka: taxonomies) e.g.:

```
ex:Textbook rdfs:subClassOf ex:Book .  
ex:Book rdfs:subClassOf ex:PrintMedia .  
ex:Journal rdfs:subClassOf ex:PrintMedia .
```

- “built in” in RDFS semantics: transitivity of the `rdfs:subClassOf` property, i.e., it follows

```
ex:Textbook rdfs:subClassOf ex:PrintMedia .
```

Class Hierarchies

- class hierarchies particularly often used for modeling, e.g. in biology (e.g. Classification of living beings)
- Example: zoological categorization of the modern human

```
<rdf:RDF xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
  xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
  xmlns:ex="http://www.semantic-web-grundlagen.de/Beispiele#">
  <rdfs:Class rdf:about="&ex;Animalia"/>
  <rdfs:Class rdf:about="&ex;Chordata">
    <rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:resource="&ex;Animalia"/>
  </rdfs:Class>
  <rdfs:Class rdf:about="&ex;Mammalia">
    <rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:resource="&ex;Chordata"/>
  </rdfs:Class>
  <rdfs:Class rdf:about="&ex;Primates">
    <rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:resource="&ex;Mammalia"/>
  </rdfs:Class>
  <rdfs:Class rdf:about="&ex;Hominidae">
    <rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:resource="&ex;Primates"/>
  </rdfs:Class>
  ...
```

Classes

- intuitive connection to set theory:

<code>rdf:type</code>	corresponds to	\in
<code>rdfs:subClassOf</code>	corresponds to	\subseteq

- this also justifies the reflexivity and transitivity of `rdfs:subClassOf`

Classes in RDF/XML Syntax

- abbreviated notation for specifying class instances:

```
<ex:HomoSapiens rdf:about="&ex;SebastianRudolph"/>
```

instead of

```
<rdf:Description rdf:about="&ex;SebastianRudolph">  
  <rdf:type rdf:resource="&ex;HomoSapiens">  
</rdf:Description>
```

- Likewise:

```
<rdfs:Class rdf:about="&ex;HomoSapiens"/>
```

Predefined Class URIs

- `rdfs:Resource`
class of all resources (i.e., all elements of the domain)
- `rdf:Property`
class of all relationships
(= those resources, that are referenced via predicate URIs)
- `rdf:List`, `rdf:Seq`, `rdf:Bag`, `rdf:Alt`, `rdfs:Container`
diverse kinds of lists
- `rdfs:ContainerMembershipProperty`
class of all relationships that represent a containedness relationship

Predefined Class URIs

- `rdf:XMLLiteral`
class of all values of the predefined datatype `XMLLiteral`
- `rdfs:Literal`
class of all literal values (every datatype is a subclass of this class)
- `rdfs:Datatype`
class of all datatypes (therefore it is a class of classes, similar to `rdfs:Class`)
- `rdf:Statement`
class of all reified propositions (discussed later)

Agenda

- Motivation
- Classes and Class Hierarchies
- Properties and Property Hierarchies
- Property Restrictions
- Open Lists
- Reification
- Additional Information in RDFS
- Simple Ontologies

Properties

- also called: relations, relationships
- beware: unlike in OOP, properties in RDF(S) are not assigned to classes
- property URIs normally in predicate position of a triple
- properties characterize, in which way two resources are related to each other
- mathematically often represented as set of pairs:
marriedWith = {(Adam, Eve), (Brad, Angelina), ...}
- URI can be marked as property name by typing it accordingly:
`ex:publishedBy rdf:type rdf:Property .`

Subproperties

- like sub-/superclasses also sub-/superproperties possible and useful
- specification in RDFS via `rdfs:subPropertyOf` e.g.:

```
ex:happilyMarriedWith rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:marriedWith .
```

- Then, given the triple

```
ex:markus ex:happilyMarriedWith ex:anja .
```

we can infer

```
ex:markus ex:marriedWith ex:anja .
```

Agenda

- Motivation
- Classes and Class Hierarchies
- Properties and Property Hierarchies
- Property Restrictions
- Open Lists
- Reification
- Additional Information in RDFS
- Simple Ontologies

Property Restrictions

- common: usage of property only makes sense for certain kinds of resources, e.g. `ex:publishedBy` only connects publications with publishers
- thus, for all URIs `a`, `b`, the triple
`a ex:publishedBy b .`
intuitively entails:
`a rdf:type ex:Publication .`
`b rdf:type ex:Publisher .`
- We can express this directly in RDFS:
`ex:publishedBy rdfs:domain ex:Publication .`
`ex:publishedBy rdfs:range ex:Publisher .`
- Can also be used to “prescribe” datatypes for literals:
`ex:hasAge rdfs:range xsd:nonNegativeInteger .`

Property restrictions

- property restrictions are the only way of specifying semantic interdependencies between properties and classes
- beware: property restrictions are interpreted globally and conjunctively: z.B.

```
ex:authorOf rdfs:range ex:Cookbook .  
ex:authorOf rdfs:range ex:Storybook .
```

means: every entity having an author is both a cookbook and a storybook


- thus: always pick the most general possible class for domain/range specifications

Agenda

- Motivation
- Classes and Class Hierarchies
- Properties and Property Hierarchies
- Property Restrictions
- Open Lists
- Reification
- Additional Information in RDFS
- Simple Ontologies

Working with open lists

Zur Erinnerung: offene Listen in RDF:



Working with Open Lists

- **new class:** `rdfs:Container` as superclass of `rdf:Seq`, `rdf:Bag`, `rdf:Alt`
- **new class:** `rdfs:ContainerMembershipProperty`
instances of this class are no proper individuals, but themselves properties
- **intended semantics:** every property encoding that the subject contains the object is an instance of `rdfs:ContainerMembershipProperty`
- **in particular, we have**
`rdf:_1 rdf:type rdfs:ContainerMembershipProperty .`
`rdf:_2 rdf:type rdfs:ContainerMembershipProperty .`
etc.

Working with Open Lists

- new property: `rdfs:member`
superproperty of all properties that are instances of
`rdfs:ContainerMembershipProperty`, could be called the “universal
containedness relation”
- Hard-wired in the semantics of RDFS: whenever for a property `p` the triple
`p rdf:type rdfs:ContainerMembershipProperty .`
holds, then the triple
`a p b .`
gives rise to the triple
`a rdfs:member b .`

Agenda

- Motivation
- Classes and Class Hierarchies
- Properties and Property Hierarchies
- Property Restrictions
- Open Lists
- Reification
- Additional Information in RDFS
- Simple Ontologies

Reification

- problematic in RDF(S): model propositions about proposition (in natural language, such propositions can be identified by a leading “that”), e.g.:
“The detective suspects that the butler killed the gardener.”

Reification

- problematic in RDF(S): model propositions about proposition (in natural language, such propositions can be identified by a leading “that”), e.g.:
“The detective suspects that the butler killed the gardener.”
- first modeling attempt:

```
ex:detective ex:suspects "The butler killed the  
gardener." .
```

- Suboptimal: the literal object cannot be easily referenced in other triples.

Reification

- problematic in RDF(S): model propositions about proposition (in natural language, such propositions can be identified by a leading “that”), e.g.:
“The detective suspects that the butler killed the gardener.”
- first modeling attempt:

```
ex:detective ex:suspects "The butler killed the  
gardener." .
```

- Suboptimal: the literal object cannot be easily referenced in other triples.

- second modeling attempt:

```
ex:detektiv ex:suspects ex:theButlerKilledTheGardener .
```


- Suboptimal: we lose the inner structure of the talked about proposition

Reification

- problematic in RDF(S): model propositions about proposition (in natural language, such propositions can be identified by a leading “that”), e.g.:
“The detective suspects that the butler killed the gardener.”
- Out of context, proposition can be easily modeled in RDF:
`ex:butler ex:killed ex:gardener .`
- desirable: this whole triple should occur as an object of another triple, however, this is not valid RDF


Reification

solution (similar to multi-valued relationships): introduce auxiliary nodes representing the nested proposition:



Reification

solution (similar to multi-valued relationships): introduce auxiliary nodes representing the nested proposition:




Reification

- caution: reified triple does not need to hold (would not be always sensible either, cf. propositions like: “The detective has doubts that the butler killed the gardener.”)
- if this is wanted, the original (unreified) triple has to be added to the RDF document
- the class `rdf:Statement` is used to mark nodes which represent reified propositions
- in case this proposition is not referred to from the “outside”, the auxiliary node may be a `bnode`

Reification

A small reification riddle: another criminal story...



Agenda

- Motivation
- Classes and Class Hierarchies
- Properties and Property Hierarchies
- Property Restrictions
- Open Lists
- Reification
- Additional Information in RDFS
- Simple Ontologies

Additional Information

- like with programming languages, one sometimes wants to add comments (without changing the semantics)
- purpose: increase understandability for human users
- it is to be preferred to model this knowledge in a graph-based way (e.g., due to compatibility reasons)
- thus: defined set of properties that serve this purpose

Additional Information

`rdfs:label`

- property that assigns a name (Literal) to an arbitrary resource
- often, URIs themselves are difficult to read, or “bulky” at best
- names provided via `rdfs:label` are often used by tools that graphically represent the data

example (also feat. language information):

```
<rdfs:Class rdf:about="&ex;Hominidae">  
  <rdfs:label xml:lang="en">great apes</rdfs:label>  
</rdfs:Class>
```

Additional Information

`rdfs:comment`

- property assigning an extensive comment (literal) to an arbitrary resource
- may e.g. contain the natural language description of a newly introduced class – this facilitates later usage

`rdfs:seeAlso`, `rdfs:definedBy`

- properties giving resources (URIs!) where one can find further information or a definition of the subject resource

Additional Information

Example of usage

:

```
xmlns:wikipedia="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki" : <rdfs:Class
rdf:about="\&ex;Primates">
  <rdfs:label xml:lang="de">Primaten</rdfs:label>
  <rdfs:comment>
    An order of mammals. Primates are characterized by a highly
    developed brain. Most primates live in tropical or subtropical
    regions.
  </rdfs:comment>
  <rdfs:seeAlso rdfs:resource="/&wikipedia;Primate"/>
  <rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:resource="\&ex;Mammalia"/>
</rdfs:Class>
```

Agenda

- Motivation
- Classes and Class Hierarchies
- Properties and Property Hierarchies
- Property Restrictions
- Open Lists
- Reification
- Additional Information in RDFS
- Simple Ontologies

Simple Ontologies

- By means of the modeling features of RDFS, important aspects of many domains can already be captured semantically.
- Based on the RDFS semantics, a certain amount of implicit knowledge can be derived.
- Consequently, RDFS can be seen as a (though not overly expressive) ontology language.

Simple Ontologies - Example

```

ex:vegetableThaiCurry
ex:sebastian
ex:sebastian
ex:AllergicToNuts
ex:thaiDishBasedOn
ex:thaiDishBasedOn
ex:thaiDishBasedOn
ex:hasIngredient

```

```


ex:thaiDishBasedOn
rdf:type
ex:eats
rdfs:subClassOf
rdfs:domain
rdfs:range
rdfs:subPropertyOf
rdf:type

```

```

ex:coconutMilk .
ex:AllergicToNuts .
ex:vegetableThaiCurry .
ex:Pitiabile .
ex:Thai .
ex:Nutty .
ex:hasIngredient .
rdfs:ContainerMembershipProperty .


```



1 Document - 3 Interpretations

```
<rdf:Description rdf:ID="Truck">
  <rdf:type rdf:resource=
    "http://http://www.w3.org/2000/02/rdf-schema#Class"/>
  <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#MotorVehicle"/>
</rdf:Description>
```

Interpretation as XML:



1 Document - 3 Interpretations

```
<rdf:Description rdf:ID="Truck">
  <rdf:type rdf:resource=
    "http://http://www.w3.org/2000/02/rdf-schema#Class"/>
  <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#MotorVehicle"/>
</rdf:Description>
```

Interpretation as RDF:

- another data model
- `rdf:Description`, `rdf:ID` and `rdf:resource` have a fixed meaning

subject	predicate	object
#Truck	<code>rdf:type</code>	<code>rdfs:Class</code>
#Truck	<code>rdfs:subClassOf</code>	#Motorvehicle




1 Document - 3 Interpretations

```
<rdf:Description rdf:ID="Truck">
  <rdf:type rdf:resource=
    "http://http://www.w3.org/2000/02/rdf-schema#Class"/>
  <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#MotorVehicle"/>
</rdf:Description>
```

Interpretation as RDF Schema:

- yet another data model
- `rdf:type` and `rdf:subClassOf` have a specific interpretation



Agenda

- Motivation
- Classes and Class Hierarchies
- Properties and Property Hierarchies
- Property Restrictions
- Open Lists
- Reification
- Additional Information in RDFS
- Simple Ontologies