

Foundations of Logic Programming

Prof. Michael Thielscher, Sebastian Voigt

International Master Program in Computational Logic — winter term 2009/2010

Date of Exercise: 16.11.2009

Exercise 3.1

Use the Martelli-Montanari algorithm step by step to unify the terms $t(f(h(x)), y, h(b))$ and $t(y, f(z), z)$ with variables x , y , and z . For each step indicate the reduction wrt. \prec_3 of the termination proof for the MM-algorithm.

Exercise 3.2

In the proof of the termination of the MM algorithm, a lexicographic ordering on triples of the form $(uns(E), lfun(E), card(E))$ is used. Show examples why orderings on triples of the form $(lfun(E), uns(E), card(E))$ or of the form $(uns(E), card(E), lfun(E))$ would not work.

Exercise 3.3

Consider the following description: "A dog is happy if the sun is shining or if it plays with a toy. It can play with a toy only if somebody is present and is willing to launch it. Frank and Max are present and Max is willing to launch a stick."

- Formalize the given description by specifying a Prolog program. Additionally, give the logic formulae that correspond to the program.
- Show a query and an SLD-derivation which proves that the dog is happy. What are the resultants of the derivation?
- Give the computed answer substitution (CAS) of the derivation from b).

Exercise 3.4

Find a program P and a query Q such that for some θ there is a proof of $Q\theta$ (i.e. a successful SLD-derivation providing θ) via some selection rule R which cannot be found if Standardization Apart (Slide III/15) were not required.

Can you specify P and Q such that the problem occurs no matter which selection rule is applied?

Exercise 3.5

Consider the program

```
fact(0,s(0)).  
fact(s(N),F):- fact(N,G), mul(s(N),G,F).
```

and the query $?- \text{fact}(0,Y), \text{fact}(Y,s(0))$.

- a) Give an SLD-derivation using the Prolog selection rule (you don't have to show the multiplication in detail). Give the substitutions and resultants of each step and the CAS.
- b) Show that the Switching Lemma (Slide III/26) holds for the initial query (i.e., for $n = 0$).

Hint: Give a second SLD-derivation selecting the second atom at the beginning and using the Prolog selection rule afterwards. Show the correspondence of both derivations.