



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DRESDEN

Artificial Intelligence, Computational Logic

PROJECT GROUP COMPUTATIONAL LOGIC

Sebastian Rudolph, Sarah Gaggl and Michaël Thomazo

Dresden, 14th October 2013



DRESDEN
UNIVERSITÄT
TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DRESDEN

Organization

- The students will work on a small scientific project.
- Depending on the specific topic the students will review the related literature, identify the appropriate methodology and solve the given tasks.
- The respective steps will be discussed in regular meetings with the supervisor.
- At the end of the semester the students will present their results in a talk and submit a project report.

Topics - 1. Description Logics

1.1 Inconsistency Handling in Description Logics

- Supervisor: Sebastian Rudolph
- Dealing with **inconsistencies** is a very important challenge in practical scenarios of ontology use.
- The aim of this project is to **understand, present, and formally compare** different approaches to inconsistency in DLs (such as **paraconsistent reasoning and reasoning with maximal consistent subontologies**).

Topics - 1. Description Logics ctd.

1.2 Reducing Grounded Circumscription to Standard Reasoning

- Supervisor: Sebastian Rudolph
- Mainstream Description Logics do **not support nonmonotony**. In some modelling tasks, however, this feature is required.
- One approach to add "mild" nonmonotonic modelling to DLs is called "grounded circumscription".
- In this project, **efficient ways of reasoning with grounded-circumscription** in DLs, based on existing reasoning machinery for standard DLs, shall be explored.

Topics - 1. Description Logics ctd.

1.3 Creating a DL-Ontology

- Supervisor: Sebastian Rudolph
- Over the past years, Description Logic research has investigated a **large variety of logics** ranging from very lightweight DLs like EL to the very expressive DLs that underly today's most advanced ontology modelling language OWL.
- The goal of this project is to **create an ontology describing these diverse logics** and their properties (such as expressiveness, reasoning complexity, etc.) in a way that the **reasoning capabilities of OWL** can be used to **infer information** about these properties from given facts.

Topics - 2. Formal Concept Analysis

2.1 Meta-Modelling in FCA

- Supervisor: Sebastian Rudolph
- FCA starts from a very **basic data structure** comprising objects and their attributes.
- Sometimes, however, it is beneficial to also define **attributes of attributes** (so-called meta attributes).
- The general goal of this project is to **develop a framework** for this kind of **Meta-Modelling** in FCA, including **formal definitions** and appropriate **visualizations**.

Topics - 2. Formal Concept Analysis ctd.

2.2 Executing Logical Operations on Formal Contexts via OBDDs

- Supervisor: Sebastian Rudolph
- **Formal contexts**, the basic data structures in FCA can be described as **Boolean functions**.
- On the other hand, Boolean functions can be expressed (often very efficiently) via **ordered binary decision diagrams (OBDDs)**.
- This project aims at **expressing standard operations on formal contexts by means of OBDDs** and comparing the efficiency to the standard algorithms.

Topics - 2. Formal Concept Analysis ctd.

2.3 Finding the Least Common Subsumer of Closure Operators

- Supervisor: Sebastian Rudolph
- There are several ways to **encode closure operators**.
- Two such encodings are **formal contexts** and **implication sets**.
- **Finding the lcs** of two closure operators expressed as **implication sets** is **easy**, one just computes the union of the two sets.
- Finding the lcs in the **contextual encoding** seems **less straightforward**. This project is dedicated to this task.

Topics - 3. Abstract Argumentation

3.1 Implementing labeling-based Algorithms

- Supervisor: Sarah Gaggl
- Labeling-based algorithms are used as **direct implementation method** to compute solutions for abstract Argumentation Frameworks (AFs).
- Such labelings distinguish different statuses of arguments, e.g. whether they are **accepted, attacked or undecided**. The label of one argument has **immediate implications** for its neighbors.
- The idea of labeling-based algorithms is to use these implications to **prune the search space**.
- The task of this topic is to **implement an existing algorithm** for a specific semantics and **evaluate the performance** compared to the system ASPARTIX.

Topics - 3. Abstract Argumentation ctd.

3.2 Approximating Skeptical Preferred Reasoning

- Supervisor: Sarah Gaggl
- Most of the argumentation semantics are **intractable**, in particular skeptical reasoning for **preferred semantics** is Π_2^P -complete.
- On attempt to improve the performance is to **approximate** the acceptance of an argument from easier decision problems from other semantics.
- The task is to **analyse and implement** algorithms for **top-down** and **bottom-up** approximations and compare the approaches to existing implementations.

Topics - 4. Existential Rules

4.1 Query-dependent algorithm

- Supervisor: Michaël Thomazo
- Most of the proposed approaches for [Ontology-Based Data Access](#) do not take into account properties of the query
- Some approaches propose [a modification of the ruleset](#) with respect to the query
- Goal of the project: understand, implement and/or extend these approaches

Topics - 4. Existential Rules

4.2 Adaptation of current algorithms to data updates

- Supervisor: Michaël Thomazo
- Current algorithms for OBDA would recompute everything from **scratch** if the data changes
- Data updates is a classical topic in database theory
- Goal of the project: understand how updates are dealt with in databases and lift the approaches to some existential rules algorithms.